## Model 1: Pool of marks

1. The group product is marked by the lecturer
2. For the individual contribution, $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ marks are available between all members of the group and are divided up based on each student's participation in and contribution to the group work. The division of marks is decided between the group members themselves.
3. Each individual's share of the pool of marks is used to derive an individual factor which is multiplied by the mark for the group product to give an individual's overall mark for the group work
4. A 'scaled' version of this individual factor can be used to limit the impact of the individual factor on the individual's overall mark

## Individual factor (unscaled) = (Individual's share of the pool of marks x number of people in group)/100

Individual factor (scaled)= (\% of group score applied to all + ((100-\% of group score applied to all) x individual factor))/100

## Worked example

|  | Mark for group product | Mark from pool of $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ for group contribution | No of students in group | Individual factor (unscaled) | Overall mark (unscaled) | Individual factor (scaled 50\%) | Overall mark (scaled 50\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angela | 66 | 38.3 | 3 | 1.149 | 76 | 1.0745 | 71 |
| Julie | 66 | 36.3 | 3 | 1.089 | 72 | 1.0445 | 69 |
| Tom | 66 | 25.3 | 3 | 0.759 | 50 | 0.8795 | 58 |

## Model 2: Criteria

1. The group product is marked by the lecturer
2. For the individual contribution, marks between -1 and +3 are awarded by group members to themselves and each other against a set of criteria. These are added together to give an individual total score
3. Each individual's total score is used to derive an individual factor which is multiplied by the mark for the group product to give an individual's overall mark for the group work
4. A 'scaled' version of this individual factor can be used to limit the impact of the individual factor on the individual's overall mark

## Individual factor = Individual's total score/Average of total scores

Individual factor (scaled)=(\% of group score applied to all +((100-\% of group score applied to all) $\mathbf{x}$ individual factor $)$ )/100
Worked example

| Marks to: | Angela |  |  | Julie |  |  | Tom |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By: | Angela | Julie | Tom | Angela | Julie | Tom | Angela | Julie | Tom |
| Enthusiasm | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ideas | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Understanding | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Helping group function | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Organising | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Efficiency | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Individual score | 39 |  |  | 36 |  |  | 21 |  |  |
| Average score | 32 |  |  | 32 |  |  | 32 |  |  |
| Individual factor | 1.21875 |  |  | 1.125 |  |  | 0.65625 |  |  |
| Scaled individual factor (50\%) | 1.109375 |  |  | 1.0625 |  |  | 0.828125 |  |  |
| Overall mark (unscaled) | 80 |  |  | 74 |  |  | 43 |  |  |
| Overall mark (scaled) | 73 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 55 |  |  |

## Model 3: Division of total marks

1. The group product is marked by the lecturer
2. The total number of marks available is calculated by multiplying the mark for the group product by the number of group members (e.g. a product mark of 66 for a group of 4 members gives a total of 198 marks)
3. The total number of marks is divided (potentially unequally) between the total group members based on each individual's contribution to the product.

This is essentially a simpler variant of the pool of marks method which will allow for greater variance of individual marks from the mark awarded for the group product. For this reason, it may be preferable to place some limits on the number of marks that can be added to/subtracted from an individual score to prevent the group element having undue influence on students' overall grades.

Worked example

|  | Mark for group <br> product | Total number of <br> marks | Potential <br> outcome 1 | Potential <br> outcome 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Angela | 66 | 198 | 70 | 80 |
| Julie | 66 | 198 | 70 | 68 |
| Tom | 66 | 198 | 58 | 50 |

Comparison of all 3 models


